Single Bench (3)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 213 /2004

PETITIONER

Satish Kumar Soi, son of late Parmanand Soi, aged about 24 years, resident of village – Pithora, Distt. Mahasamund (Chhattisgarh)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. The Union of India, through : the Secretary, Ministry of Personnel, Public Grievances and Pension (State Reorganization Cell), III Floor, Lok Nayak Bhawan, Khan Market, New Delhi.

R.R. No. 158/05 Presented by Shri L.T. Doda dated 13.01.05

2. State of Chhattisgarh, through : the Secretary, Department of Water Resources, Mantralay, Raipur (CG)

3. State of Madhya Pradesh, through : Secretary, Department of Water Resources, Vallabh Bhawan, Bhopal (Madhya Pradesh)

4. The Executive Engineer, Department of Water Resources, Mahasamund (Chhattisgarh)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, 1950 FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS ETC. AND FOR APPROPRIATE ORDER

Received Rajnish Singh Baghel Advocate Addl. Central Government Standing Counsel At the High Court of Chhattisgarh Bilaspur (C.G.)

(37)

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATTISGARH : BILASPUR

Single Bench : Hon'ble Shri Satish K. Agnihotri, J.

W. P. No. 213 of 2005

Petitioner Satish Kumar Soi

Versus

Respondents The Union of India and others.

Shri Vinay Pandey, counsel for the petitioner.
Smt. B. Maity, Advocate on behalf of Shri S.K. Beriwal, counsel for respondent No.1/ Union of India
Shri Arvind Dubey, Panel Lawyer, for the State/ respondents No.2 and 4.

ORDER

(Passed on 10th Day of January, 2007)

The father of the petitioner namely Parmanand Soi, while working as Toil Keeper in W.R.S. Division No.1, Pithaura, Distt. Mahasamund, died in harness on 17.07.1999. The petitioner being the son i.e. dependant legal heir made an application. The application of the petitioner was rejected by impugned order dated 01.10.2003.

(2) The Government of Chhattisgarh, by its circular dated 02.02.2006 has directed all the departments to consider cases of all the eligible dependant legal heirs of the deceased employees, who died in harness between 01.11.1997 to 31.10.2000 for compassionate appointment.

(3) Accordingly, the Government of Chhattisgarh is directed to consider the case of the petitioner as per its own circular dated 02.02.2006 and also in view of the order passed by this Court in W.P. No.3879/2004 and other connected matters on its own merit, in accordance with law, within a period of eight weeks, on such representation being filed by the petitioner.

(4) For the reasons stated above, the writ petition is disposed of. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge